CR No.32 of 2001.

15.05.2007 Present: Mr.Bimal Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr.Ajay Kumar, Advocate, for the respondent.

This petition has been instituted by the petitioners under the provisions of Section 24(5) of the H.P. Urban Rent Control Act against the order of the learned appellate Authority ordering the eviction of the petitioners. Learned counsel for the respondent, on instructions received, submits that this petition has become infructuous as the matter has been compromised and the possession of the premises has been handed over to his client. In these circumstances, the petition does not survive and is disposed of, as such. No order as to costs.

All interim orders are vacated.

May 15, 2007 **(Dev Darshan Sud),**
(aks) **Judge**